



Maryland
Department of
the Environment

GGRA Modeling Update

This presentation does not represent any state policy positions nor does it represent a proposed state climate plan. This is a scenario specified by the MWG. It is one of several to be used to guide the state in developing a climate plan. These materials are informational only and should not be used for any other purpose.

November 13, 2018

Policy Scenario Modeling

1. Reference Case: “Business-as-usual” scenario incorporating effects of major policies as they currently exist on the books.
2. Policy Scenario 1: Extension of current program framework (e.g. EmPOWER extension, higher RPS goal).
3. Policy Scenario 2: New programs and changing program frameworks (e.g. CES instead of RPS).
4. Policy Scenario 3: MWG scenario: Carbon Price and complementary policies.
5. Policy Scenario 4: “Clean-up” scenario at the end of the process incorporating final decision of programs to include in draft plan.

TODAY: Preliminary PS3 (Carbon Pricing) Results



Maryland
Department of
the Environment

Policy Scenario 3 (Carbon Pricing)

Assumptions set by MWG

Second of Four scenarios

Economywide carbon price. Revenues invested in:

50%: Consumer Rebates

30%: Mitigation

10%: Adaptation & Resilience

10%: Just Transition

\$10M/yr: Administration (taken out of Mitigation)

Policy Scenario 3 (Carbon Pricing)

Price covers combustion of fossil fuels within MD, excluding manufacturing

Does not cover non-combustion emissions like agriculture methane, industrial process emissions, etc.

Assumes MD-only program.

Year	Carbon Price (2018\$ per MT)
2020	\$ 20.00
2021	\$ 24.23
2022	\$ 28.45
2023	\$ 32.68
2024	\$ 36.90
2025	\$ 41.13
2026	\$ 45.35
2027	\$ 49.58
2028	\$ 53.80
2029	\$ 58.03
2030	\$ 62.25
...	
2040	\$ 74.70
...	
2050	\$ 85.91

Carbon Pricing Modeling Approach

1. Consumption Response

Reduce energy consumption in response to higher prices (short-run elasticity estimates from CTAM).

Evaluate impact of higher per-unit energy costs and lower energy use in REMI.

2. Investment Response

Reduce long-term energy consumption as higher prices affect investment decisions (long-run elasticity estimates from CTAM).

Evaluate impact of higher capital costs and lower energy use in REMI.

3. Electricity Supply Response

Reduce dispatch of in-state fossil generation in favor of imports and in-state renewables.

4. Mitigation Spending

Implement mitigation measures funded by fee revenues.

Evaluate impact of transfer payments in REMI.



Maryland
Department of
the Environment

Policy Scenario 3 Results: Overall

Meets 2030 GHG goal, but not 2050

PS3 Impact relative to Reference	Through 2030	Through 2050
GSP Impact*	\$ 6.67 billion	\$ 1.59 billion
Average job impact**	+10,672	+7,328
Climate Benefits* (Social Cost of Carbon)	\$ 5.3 billion	\$31 billion

*Cumulative, Net Present Value using 3% discount rate

** Average number of job-years created or sustained each year



Maryland
Department of
the Environment

Significant Uncertainty in PS3 Modeling

- Cost of Investment Response
- Mitigation Spending Decisions
- Interaction between mitigation spending and revenues available for mitigation spending
- Electricity sector leakage / import response
- Other sector leakage

...what else have we missed?



Maryland
Department of
the Environment

Upcoming: Policy Scenario 2

Some overlap with PS3 mitigation measures

Major programs:

- 1- Clean & Renewable Energy
- 2- Declining RGGI Cap
- 3- More EVs
- 4- Building Electrification
- 5- More Transportation Measures
- 6- Additional Soils & Forestry Sequestration



Maryland
Department of
the Environment