WELLHEAD AREA SURVEY OLD FLINTSTONE VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY SITE ACHD SITE NO. 63

Flintstone, Allegany County, Maryland

ALWI Project No. AL7N001

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Advanced Land and Water, Inc. (ALWI) was retained by the Allegany County Health Department (ACHD) to prepare a Wellhead Area Survey for the former location of the Flintstone Volunteer Fire Company, a fire hall located on the north side of Old National Pike, and east of an unnamed tributary of Town Creek in northern Allegany County, Maryland. The fire hall holds community functions (Bingo, etc) and has two continuously occupied apartments on the second floor. This site, designated No. 63 by ACHD, is served by one production well completed in the local bedrock aquifer.

The draft Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) "Transient Water Systems Operations Guidance" manual (herein termed the "Guidance Manual") defines a Non-Transient Non-Community (NTNC) Water System as one that "...serves at least 25 regular consumers over 6 months per year." An informal interview with an employee suggested that the regular attendees of community events (approximately 200 per month), the lack of nearby tourist attractions drawing transient customers and the fact that fires can occur at any time of the year all combine to suggest that this water system is indeed a non-transient non-community system (NTNC).

1.1 Purpose

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) of 1974 required the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to develop enforceable drinking water quality standards to protect the public health. In 1986, amendments made to the SDWA strengthened provisions for the protection of underground sources of drinking water. These amendments included provisions for establishing Wellhead Protection Programs by individual states under "umbrella" EPA oversight. The EPA approved a statewide Wellhead Protection Program developed by MDE in June 1991.

The MDE program originally applied to community water supplies, only. A newly proposed broadening of the federal Clean Water Act will have the result of expanding the MDE Wellhead Protection Program to encompass non-community supplies both transient and non-transient in nature. ACHD, in cooperation with MDE, established this program to bring existing non-community supplies into compliance with the coming regulations.

1.2 SCOPE

ALWI prepared this Wellhead Area Survey following ACHD requirements, which followed MDE guidelines for transient system operation and wellhead protection.

- 1. Site Reconnaissance, Photographic Documentation and Interviews ALWI observed the onsite wellhead, storage, treatment, and distribution infrastructure to the degree exposed without excavation or exposure to personal hazards. ALWI used an ACHD-owned digital camera to photograph conditions surrounding the wellhead at the time of the field reconnaissance. Said photographs are stored on ACHD's computer system. ALWI interviewed the owner/operator to document information on the use patterns, history, and problems associated with the supply.
- 2. **Baseline Water Quality Assessment** ALWI purged the water system and collected samples for analysis in the ACHD laboratory that is affiliated with the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). ALWI performed this fieldwork in accordance with MDE potable water sampling criteria including in-field measurements of turbidity, chlorine, and pH. ACHD selected the analyte list based on countywide experience with potability concerns and the capabilities of the aforementioned laboratory. The analytes included total and fecal coliform bacteria, nitrates, nitrites, iron, sulfur and manganese (Appendix A).
- 3. **Contamination Hazard Assessment** ALWI identified existing and potential contaminant hazards within the delineated area based on visual observations and the techniques enumerated above. ALWI ranked these hazards in term of relative risk and provided concrete suggestions for their appropriate address. More generally, herein ALWI provides specific recommendations for source reduction measures, contingency plans, and other methods that may help better protect against occurrences of groundwater contamination.

2.0 HYDROGEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK

ALWI used published information from the United States Geological Survey and the Maryland Geological Survey to identify and describe the characteristics of the local hydrogeologic setting.

2.1 BEDROCK GEOLOGY

The VFC is situated within the Valley and Ridge physiographic province and is underlain by limestone of Devonian age. The Keyser and Helderberg formations (undifferentiated) underlie the site and mainly consist of limestone (Glaser, 1994). These rocks have been folded and faulted, resulting in synclines (concave-upward folds) and anticlines (convex-upward folds). The Keyser and Helderberg formations consist mainly of limestone. Such carbonate aquifers can be subject to dissolution in the presence of groundwater. Limestones can dissolve in the presence of groundwater resulting in the formation of sinkholes, caves and other topographic features. These features termed karst topography store and transmit unusual large quantities of groundwater that is often non-potable due to microbial contamination or high concentrations of particulates. The

often non-potable due to microbial contamination or high concentrations of particulates. The absence of karst features despite the favorable lithology may be explained by the intense structural deformation of the rocks.

3

In three dimensions, the local rock formations dip at right angles to the direction of plunge of the fold system. In general, dip directions may help govern groundwater (and contaminant) movement directions in the bedrock but plunge directions have less relation. At this location, the bedding planes dip to the east, which suggests that the gentle southwesterly plunge may exert greater-than-usual control on deep groundwater flow directions. Reported local well yields are sparse but range from 1 to 10 gpm (Slaughter and Darling, 1962).

2.2 SAPROLITE AND SOIL MANTLE

Natural chemical weathering of the shallow portion of the bedrock, due to percolating water, has chemically altered many of the original rock-forming minerals to clays and other secondary minerals. This has resulted in the development of shallow saprolite (weathered bedrock) and the overlying soil mantle. The thickness of the soil and saprolite varies considerably over short distances depending on the thickness of Quaternary alluvial deposits and other factors. In highly fractured zones, enhanced groundwater storage and movement has accelerated the breakdown of the rock-forming minerals and has caused formation of a thicker saprolitic deposit.

2.3 AQUIFER RECHARGE

Precipitation infiltrating through the soil and Quaternary alluvium on site and/or in up-gradient areas is the primary source of aquifer recharge to the on-site supply well. Generally, overlying soil horizons act to absorb and then slowly release infiltrating precipitation. However, in areas where fracture zones have formed, percolating groundwater can reach the water table quickly. A portion of the precipitation percolates downward through the soil mantle and then migrates through narrow, interconnected joints, fractures, faults, and cleavage planes in the bedrock. Such downward percolation could occur rapidly through dissolution zones (if present).

2.4 GEOLOGY-CONTROLLED GROUNDWATER FLOW

Generally, bedding plane partings and cross-bedding fracture zones (where present) function as both downward and lateral water conduits. Consequently, such zones receive and transmit water at a rate higher than would otherwise be achievable and, accordingly, are preferential conduits for groundwater flow and contaminant transport.

3.0 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Slaughter and Darling (1962) reported the groundwater quality from the Tonoloway Formation as locally variable (iron concentrations range from 0.02 to as much as 0.4 micrograms per liter [mg/l];

4

hardness ranges from 54 to 480 mg/l; and pH ranges from 7.3 to 8.1). ALWI interpreted that the slight reddish colors of the local rock exposures as likely attributable to the trace presence of iron.

At this location, ALWI collected baseline groundwater samples on December 15, 1998, in accordance with the MDE sampling procedures specified in COMAR 26.08.05. ALWI was unable to collect raw water samples, as there was no way to access the water supply before it ran through the UV disinfection system. Treated water samples were collected after the water had also been run through a softener. However, the samples collected came from a storage tank, as the pump was not running at the time.

ACHD's laboratory analyzed the samples for those constituents of countywide concern. These included total coliform bacteria as specified in COMAR 26.04.01.11A-C (alkalinity, color, conductance, hardness, iron, manganese, nitrate-nitrite nitrogen (COMAR 26.04.01.14(4)(a)), nitrite nitrogen (COMAR 26.04.01.14(4)(b)), pH, and total dissolved solids.

The results are included as Appendix A, and suggest potability relative to the samples collected. However, the proximity of the well to the nearby stream and it's location in a carbonate aquifer places it at "high risk" for surface water influence as defined in the MDE guidance document. This risk would be better quantified with better information on subsurface borehole conditions (e.g., depth of casing) and the potential for variance in surface water indicator parameters (raw water bacteria; temperature and turbidity) with differing precipitation regimes. Ultimate decisions regarding possible filtration retrofits or bottled water conversion are appropriately driven by economic considerations (the capital and operational costs of domestic-scale filtration vs. the daily consumption of water).

4.0 DELINEATION

ALWI delineated a surveyed area surrounding this site's well using generalized criteria developed by MDE for non-community supplies, as modified by ALWI (with ACHD consent) based on the specific topographic setting of the site. The resultant delineation is shown on the "Water Plant Information" survey form (Appendix B). ALWI used a fixed radius of 1,000 feet around the well, which creates an area of approximately 72 acres. Within an assumed 600 gallons per day per acre (gpd/ac) of annualized groundwater recharge (Slaughter and Darling, 1962, Table 37), slightly more than 43,000 gallons per day exists within the aquifer beneath this surveyed area. In actuality, the modest demand of this well is much smaller than the total available in the surveyed area, lending a high degree of conservatism to this analysis.

Negligible nitrate-nitrogen concentrations were detected in the sample ALWI collected. This obviated the need for a nitrate balance assessment.

5.0 CONTAMINANT THREATS ASSESSMENT

ALWI performed a site reconnaissance on December 15, 1998. During the reconnaissance, local land use conditions were observed with emphasis on the potential use, storage and disposal practices of hazardous materials and petroleum products. Such conditions may have included visual evidence for present or former spills, stained or discolored ground surfaces, stressed vegetation, unusual odors, or visible underground storage tank (UST) facilities. Adjacent and nearby properties were also visually scanned for such evidence from the property and nearby public right-of-ways. Off-site properties were not entered. ALWI relied on the accuracy of interviews for this information.

Design, construction and present condition are important factors in determining a well's susceptibility to contamination. No well tag was visible. Accordingly, ALWI could not assess the initial design nor present condition of the casing or grout seal. ALWI observed that the portion of the casing exposed at ground surface appeared intact and was equipped with a conventional pitless-style cap of the type that can sometimes allow insects to enter the well. An upgrade to a more modern cap would provide greater protection against microbial contamination. A watertight cap would also provide greater protection from the occasional flooding of the nearby stream.

ALWI observed that the pavement around the wellhead was cracked. Stormwater and other liquids may enter these cracks and enter the well, entraining microbial contaminants from the surface. Repaving the area around the well would eliminate the possibility of stormwater infiltration and avoid potential contamination.

ALWI identified no obvious sources of local contamination other than the on-site risks listed above and the various USTs located at commercial establishments in downtown Flintstone.

6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ALWI found that the supply is potable relative to the analyses performed. No discharge to groundwater has been confirmed by any of the facilities or practices ALWI observed. ALWI has ranked its observations in decreasing order of overall relative risk. ALWI provides specific recommendations at the conclusion of each respective observation or interpretation.

- 1. **Surface Water Influence -** Property ownership interests should collect and analyze groundwater samples for indicators of groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (e.g., turbidity, temperature, and bacteria analyses performed daily for four consecutive days immediately after a 0.5-inch rainfall event).
- 2. **Highway and Parking Area Deicing** Highway and parking area deicing practices may increase a seasonal risk of sodium and chloride contamination. The State Highway Administration (SHA) is unlikely to curtail or otherwise change deicing practices on Old

National Pike (I-68). However, consideration should be given to using non-chemical abrasives on the parking lot for deicing to the degree possible. Baseline and bi-annual sampling for sodium and chlorides should be considered.

7.0 SELECTED REFERENCES

- Glaser, John D., 1994, Geologic Map of the Flintstone Quadrangle, Allegany County, Maryland: Maryland Geological Survey, 1:24,000.
- MDE Public Drinking Water Program, 1998, Transient Water System Operations Guidance; Guidance For Counties With Delegated Responsibilities (Draft), 45p.
- Slaughter, Turbit H. and John M. Darling, 1963, The Water Resources of Allegany and Washington Counties: Maryland Department of Geology, Mines, and Water Resources, Bulletin 24, p. 408.

| NONCOMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY SANITARY SURVEY | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---|------------------|--|
| 1. System Name: Flintstone Volunteer Fire Company | | | 2. WAS: 63 | | |
| 3. System Information: | | | 4. ADC Map/Grid: | 5. Tax Map/Plat: | |
| Address: P.O. Box 105 | | | N/A | N/A | |
| Flintstone, Maryland | | | 6. Population: | | |
| Phone No.: | one No.: (301) 478-2311 | | Transient | | |
| 7. Property Information: | | | 8. No. Service Connections: | | |
| Owner's Name Flintstone Vol. Fire Company | | | 9. Type of Facility: | | |
| Address: P.O. Box 105 | | | Food Service Church Campground Daycare Other (specify) Fire Co. | | |
| Flintstone, Maryland | | | | | |
| Phone No. (301) 478-2311 (301) 478-2749 | | | | | |
| 10. Contact Perso | on: | 11. Operator: | | | |
| Name: | | Name: | | | |
| Phone No. | | Cert. No | | | |
| 12. Sample History (Has the system had any violations?): | | | | | |
| Bacteria: None apparent or reported Nitrate: None apparent or reported | | | | | |
| SURVEY RESULTS | | | | | |
| 13. Comments on System, Recommendations: | | | | | |
| ALWI found that the supply is potable relative to the analyses performed. No discharge to groundwater has been confirmed by any of the facilities or practices ALWI observed. ALWI has ranked its observations in decreasing order of overall relative risk. ALWI provides specific recommendations at the conclusion of each respective observation or interpretation. | | | | | |
| Surface Water Influence - Property ownership interests should collect and analyze groundwater samples for indicators of groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (e.g., turbidity, temperature, and bacteria analyses performed daily for four consecutive days immediately after a 0.5-inch rainfall event). | | | | | |
| 2. Highway and Parking Area Deicing – Highway and parking area deicing practices may increase a seasonal risk of sodium and chloride contamination. The State Highway Administration (SHA) is unlikely to curtail or otherwise change deicing practices on Old National Pike (I-68). However, consideration should be given to using non-chemical abrasives on the parking lot for deicing to the degree possible. Baseline and bi-annual sampling for sodium and chlorides should be considered. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

16. System Vulnerability

Protected

Vulnerable Yes (see report)

15. Date inspected:

12/15/98

14. Inspected by:

Mark W. Eisner

| 17. Type of Treatment: (Check all that apply) Disinfection Gas Chlorine: Sodium Hypochlorite Ultraviolet Radiation Iron Removal Nitrate Removal PH Neutralizer Other Unknown 18. System Schematic (Process Flow): Well FLOW FLOW User NOTE: This diagram is a simplified schematic of operational process flow observed or described on the date of the reconnaissance. Many water system a possess malfunctioning, disconnected and/or occasionally/regularly-bypassed equipment. Actual treatment processes may differ, therefore, from those shown herein. 19. System Storage: 20. Storage Capacity: 21. Untreated water sampling tap? | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Gas Chlorine: Sodium Hypochlorite Ultraviolet Radiation x Iron Removal Nitrate Removal PH Neutralizer Other Unknown 19. System Storage: Note | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Ground Storege | | | | | |
| Ground Storage Typical Domestic Yes No _x Elevated Storage Hydropneumatic Tank _x Yes No _x | Yes No <u>x</u> | | | | |
| WELL INFORMATION | | | | | |
| 22. Well Information: 24. Well Location Diagram (1 in. = 1250 ft.) with Approximate Distances from Potential Contaminan Sources (i.e. septic, sewer lines, structures, petroleum storage, surface water bodies, etc.): | ıt | | | | |
| Tag Number: not visible | | | | | |
| Year Drilled: | | | | | |
| Casing Depth: Slendale Ch | | | | | |
| Well Depth: | | | | | |
| Well Yield: PIKE | 7 | | | | |
| Casing Height: | 1 | | | | |
| Grout Depth: | Princetone | | | | |
| Pitless Adapter? | 2 | | | | |
| Wiring OK? unknown | | | | | |
| Pump OK? unknown | 7 | | | | |
| 23. Well Type: | M | | | | |
| Drilled X Driven Dug S Drilled Driven Dug Drilled Driven Dug Drilled Driven Dri | | | | | |
| 25. Aquifer: 26. Quantity Used: 27. Well Cap: 28. Casing Diameter: 29. Casing Typ | pe: | | | | |
| Name: Keyser/Helderberg Type? 2" PVC GAP #: Daily Avg (gpd) 600 Seal Tight? O.K. 4" Metal x Pumping Rate (gpm) Vented? O.K. 6" x Concrete | _ | | | | |
| Pumping Rate (gpm) | 1 | | | | |

