WELLHEAD AREA SURVEY OLDTOWN SCHOOL ACHD SITE NO. 92 Oldtown, Allegany County, Maryland

ALWI Project No. AL7N001

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Advanced Land and Water, Inc. (ALWI) was retained by the Allegany County Health Department (ACHD) to prepare a Wellhead Area Survey for Oldtown School (the School), a public facility of the Allegany County Board of Education located on the south side of Opessa Street, and immediately west of the intersection of Mill Run and Seven Springs Run in southern Allegany County, Maryland. This site, designated No. 92 by ACHD, is served by one production well completed in the local bedrock aquifer.

The draft Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) "Transient Water Systems Operations Guidance" manual (herein termed the "Guidance Manual") defines a Non-Transient Non-Community (NTNC) Water System as one that "...serves at least 25 regular consumers over 6 months per year." An informal interview with the chief custodian suggested that the number of students (280) combined with school being in session over three-fourths of the year, but not a primary residence suggest that this system is a non-transient non-community system (NTNC).

1.1 PURPOSE

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) of 1974 required the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to develop enforceable drinking water quality standards to protect the public health. In 1986, amendments made to the SDWA strengthened provisions for the protection of underground sources of drinking water. These amendments included provisions for establishing Wellhead Protection Programs by individual states under "umbrella" EPA oversight. The EPA approved a statewide Wellhead Protection Program developed by MDE in June 1991.

The MDE program originally applied to community water supplies, only. A newly proposed broadening of the federal Clean Water Act will have the result of expanding the MDE Wellhead Protection Program to encompass non-community supplies both transient and non-transient in nature. ACHD, in cooperation with MDE, established this program to bring existing non-community supplies into compliance with the coming regulations.

1.2 Scope

ALWI prepared this Wellhead Area Survey following ACHD requirements, which followed MDE guidelines for transient system operation and wellhead protection.

Advanced Land and Water, Inc.

1. Site Reconnaissance, Photographic Documentation and Interviews – ALWI observed the onsite wellhead, storage, treatment, and distribution infrastructure to the degree exposed without excavation or exposure to personal hazards. ALWI used an ACHD-owned digital camera to photograph conditions surrounding the wellhead at the time of the field reconnaissance. Said photographs are stored on ACHD's computer system. ALWI interviewed the owner/operator and/or employee(s) to document information on the use patterns, history, and problems associated with the supply.

2

- 2. **Baseline Water Quality Assessment** ALWI purged the water system and collected samples for analysis in the ACHD laboratory that is affiliated with the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). ALWI performed this fieldwork in accordance with MDE potable water sampling criteria including in-field measurements of turbidity, chlorine, and pH. ACHD selected the analyte list based on countywide experience with potability concerns and the capabilities of the aforementioned laboratory. The analytes included total and fecal coliform bacteria, nitrates, nitrites, iron, sulfur and manganese (Appendix A).
- 3. Contamination Hazard Assessment ALWI identified existing and potential contaminant hazards within the delineated area based on visual observations and the techniques enumerated above. ALWI ranked these hazards in term of relative risk and provided concrete suggestions for their appropriate address. More generally, herein ALWI provides specific recommendations for source reduction measures, contingency plans, and other methods that may help better protect against occurrences of groundwater contamination.

2.0 HYDROGEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK

ALWI used published information from the United States Geological Survey and the Maryland Geological Survey to identify and describe the characteristics of the local hydrogeologic setting.

2.1 BEDROCK GEOLOGY

The School is situated within the Valley and Ridge physiographic province and is underlain by finegrained sedimentary rock of Devonian age. The Marcellus and Needmore formations (undifferentiated) underlie the site and mainly consist of shales (Glaser, 1994). These rocks have been folded and faulted, resulting in synclines (concave-upward folds) and anticlines (convexupward folds).

In three dimensions, the local rock formations dip at right angles to the direction of plunge of the fold system. In general, dip directions may help govern groundwater (and contaminant) movement directions in the bedrock but plunge directions have less relation. At this location, the bedding planes dip to the east, which suggests that the gentle southwesterly plunge may exert greater-than-usual control on deep groundwater flow directions.

2.2 SAPROLITE AND SOIL MANTLE

Natural chemical weathering of the shallow portion of the bedrock, due to percolating water, has chemically altered many of the original rock-forming minerals to clays and other secondary minerals. This has resulted in the development of shallow saprolite (weathered bedrock) and the overlying soil mantle. The thickness of the soil and saprolite varies considerably over short distances depending on the thickness of Quaternary alluvial deposits and other factors. In highly fractured zones, enhanced groundwater storage and movement has accelerated the breakdown of the rock-forming minerals and has caused formation of a thicker saprolitic deposit.

2.3 AQUIFER RECHARGE

Precipitation infiltrating through the soil and Quaternary alluvium on site and/or in up-gradient areas is the primary source of aquifer recharge to the on-site supply well. Generally, overlying soil horizons act to absorb and then slowly release infiltrating precipitation. However, in areas where fracture zones have formed, percolating groundwater can reach the water table quickly. A portion of the precipitation percolates downward through the soil mantle and then migrates through narrow, interconnected joints, fractures, faults, and cleavage planes in the bedrock.

2.4 GEOLOGY-CONTROLLED GROUNDWATER FLOW

Generally, bedding plane partings and cross-bedding fracture zones (where present) function as both downward and lateral water conduits. Consequently, such zones receive and transmit water at a rate higher than would otherwise be achievable and, accordingly, are preferential conduits for groundwater flow and contaminant transport.

3.0 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Historic water quality data for the Marcellus and Needmore formations is unavailable. At this location, ALWI collected baseline groundwater samples on December 15, 1998, in accordance with the MDE sampling procedures specified in COMAR 26.08.05. There was no feasible way to collect raw water samples.

ACHD's laboratory analyzed the samples for those constituents of countywide concern. These included total coliform bacteria as specified in COMAR 26.04.01.11A-C (alkalinity, color, conductance, hardness, iron, manganese, nitrate-nitrite nitrogen (COMAR 26.04.01.14(4)(a)), nitrite nitrogen (COMAR 26.04.01.14(4)(b)), pH, and total dissolved solids. The results are included as Appendix A, and suggest potability relative to the samples collected.

3

Wellhead Area Survey Oldtown School; Site No. 92

4.0 **DELINEATION**

ALWI delineated an area of potential concern surrounding this site's well using generalized criteria developed by MDE for non-community supplies, as modified by ALWI (with ACHD consent) based on the specific topographic setting of the site. The resultant delineation is shown on the "Water Plant Information" survey form (Appendix B). ALWI used a fixed radius of 1,000 feet around the well, which creates an area of approximately 72 acres. Within an assumed 600 gallons per day per acre (gpd/ac) of annualized groundwater recharge (Slaughter and Darling, 1962, Table 37), slightly more than 43,000 gallons per day exists within the aquifer beneath this surveyed area. In actuality, the modest demand of this well (approximately 2,000 gpd) is much smaller than the total available in the surveyed area, lending a high degree of conservatism to this analysis.

4

Negligible nitrate-nitrogen concentrations were detected in the sample ALWI collected. This obviated the need for a nitrate balance assessment.

5.0 CONTAMINANT THREATS ASSESSMENT

ALWI performed a site reconnaissance on December 2, 1998. During the reconnaissance, local land use conditions were observed with emphasis on the potential use, storage and disposal practices of hazardous materials and petroleum products. Such conditions may have included visual evidence for present or former spills, stained or discolored ground surfaces, stressed vegetation, unusual odors, or visible underground storage tank (UST) facilities. Adjacent and nearby properties were also visually scanned for such evidence from the property and nearby public right-of-ways. Off-site properties were not entered. ALWI relied on the accuracy of interviews for this information.

5.1 POTENTIAL HAZARDS AT THE WELLHEAD

Design, construction and present condition are important factors in determining a well's susceptibility to contamination. No well tag was visible. Accordingly, ALWI could not assess the initial design nor present condition of the casing or grout seal. ALWI observed that the portion of the casing exposed at ground surface appeared intact and was equipped with a conventional pitless-style cap of the type that can sometimes allow insects to enter the well. An upgrade to a more modern cap would provide greater protection against microbial contamination. A watertight cap would also provide greater protection from the occasional flooding of Mill Run and Seven Springs Run.

5.2 OTHER LOCAL CONTAMINATION RISKS

ALWI observed several potential contamination sources in the delineated area, none of which appeared present to a grave or immediate concern. ALWI identified the following potential Wellhead Area Survey Oldtown School; Site No. 92

sources of contamination: an on-site UST and on-site monitoring wells. No discharge to groundwater has been confirmed by any of the facilities or practices ALWI observed. However, the preserve of the monitoring wells suggests a prior groundwater contamination investigation on-site absence of petroleum contamination.

5

The proximity of the well to the nearby streams places it at "high risk" for surface water influence as defined in the MDE guidance document. This risk would be better quantified with better information on subsurface borehole conditions (e.g., depth of casing) and the potential for variance in surface water indicator parameters (raw water bacteria; temperature and turbidity) with differing precipitation regimes. Ultimate decisions regarding possible filtration retrofits are appropriately driven by economic considerations (the capital and operational costs of filtration vs. the daily consumption of water).

6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ALWI found that the supply is potable relative to the analyses performed. ALWI has ranked its observation in decreasing order of overall relative risk. ALWI provides specific recommendations at the conclusion of each respective observation or interpretation.

- 1. Underground Storage Tank Fuel oil is used as a heating source at this facility. School personnel indicated that the fuel is presently stored in an on-site 10,000 gallon underground storage tank (UST). Due to shallow groundwater, the UST is likely in contact with water. This heightens the possibility of corrosion and potential release. Given this further underscored by the existence of monitoring wells and the associated suggestion of a prior release. Periodic analytical testing to confirm the absence of fuel oil constituents (e.g., naphthalene, and total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbon compounds) in the water seems appropriate. Periodic monitoring and other corrective actions as necessary should then be considered based on the findings. If petroleum hydrocarbons are present, the treatment system should be retrofitted with granular octivated charcoal or similar equipment.
- 2. Surface Water Influence Property ownership interests should collect and analyze groundwater samples for indicators of groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (e.g., turbidity, temperature, and bacteria analyses performed daily for four consecutive days immediately after a 0.5-inch rainfall event). Filtration may prove necessary based on the findings.
- 3. **Monitoring Wells** ALWI observed four monitoring wells located on-site. An interview suggested that these were remnants of a previous investigation. ALWI popped the caps and observed shallow wells with no unusual odors. The monitoring wells provide direct short-circuit pathways to the aquifer for contaminants from the ground surface. ALWI recommends that the four wells be permanently sealed and abandoned so as to decrease

6

the risk of aquifer contamination. COMAR 26.04.04.11D(2)(a) outlines the rationale and procedure for well abandonment in greater detail.

4. **Parking Area Deicing** –Parking area deicing practices may increase a seasonal risk of sodium and chloride contamination. However, consideration should be given to using non-chemical abrasives on the parking lot for deicing to the degree possible. Baseline and bi-annual sampling for sodium and chlorides should be considered

7.0 SELECTED REFERENCES

- Glaser, John D., 1994, Geologic Map of the Oldtown Quadrangle, Allegany County, Maryland: Maryland Geological Survey, 1:24,000.
- MDE Public Drinking Water Program, 1998, Transient Water System Operations Guidance; Guidance For Counties With Delegated Responsibilities (Draft), 45p.
- Slaughter, Turbit H. and John M. Darling, 1963, The Water Resources of Allegany and Washington Counties: Maryland Department of Geology, Mines, and Water Resources, Bulletin 24, p. 408.

NONCOMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY SANITARY SURVEY						
1. System Name: Oldto	own School		2. WAS: 92			
System Information:			4. ADC Map/Gri N/A	d: 5. Tax Map/Plat: N/A		
Address: <u>Main</u>	Street		6. Population:			
Oldtown, Maryland			Transient	_280		
Phone No.: (301) 478-5111			Domlar	Regular		
7. Property Information	:	8. No. Service Co	onnections:			
Owner's Name Allega	any County Board	9. Type of Facilit	ty:			
Address: <u>Main Street</u>			Food Service Church			
Oldtown, Maryland			Campground Daycare			
Phone No. (301) 478-5111 Other (specify) School				School		
10. Contact Person:		11. Operator:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Name: <u>Edward David Cassell</u>		Name:				
Phone No. (301) 478-5111		Cert. No				
12. Sample History (Has the system had any violations?):						
Bacteria: None apparent or reported Nitrate: None apparent or reported						
SURVEY RESULTS						
13. Comments on System, Recommendations:						
1. Underground Storage Tank - Fuel oil is used as a heating source at this facility. School personnel indicated that the fuel is presently stored in an on-site 10,000 gallon underground storage tank (UST). Due to shallow groundwater, the UST is likely in contact with water. This heightens the possibility of corrosion and potential release. Given this further underscored by the existence of monitoring wells and the associated suggestion of a prior release. Periodic analytical testing to confirm the absence of fuel oil constituents in the water seems appropriate. Periodic monitoring and other corrective actions as necessary should then be considered based on the findings. If petroleum hydrocarbons are present, the treatment system should be retrofitted with granular octivated charcoal or similar equipment.						
2. Surface Water Influence - Property ownership interests should collect and analyze groundwater samples for indicators of groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (e.g., turbidity, temperature, and bacteria analyses performed daily for four consecutive days immediately after a 0.5-inch rainfall event). Filtration may prove necessary based on the findings.						
3. Monitoring Wells – ALWI observed four monitoring wells located on-site. An interview suggested that these were remnants of a previous investigation. ALWI popped the caps and observed shallow wells with no unusual odors. The monitoring wells provide direct short-circuit pathways to the aquifer for contaminants from the ground surface. ALWI recommends that the four wells be permanently sealed and abandoned so as to decrease the risk of aquifer contamination. COMAR 26.04.04.11D(2)(a) outlines the rationale and procedure for well abandonment in greater detail.						
4. Parking Area Deicing –Parking area deicing practices may increase a seasonal risk of sodium and chloride contamination. However, consideration should be given to using non-chemical abrasives on the parking lot for deicing to the degree possible. Baseline and bi-annual sampling for sodium and chlorides should be considered.						
14. Inspected by: 15. I		. Date inspected:	16. System Vulnerability			
Mark W. Eisner		12/03/98	Protected Vulnerab	ble <u>yes (see report)</u>		

WATER PLANT INFORMATION						
17. Type of Treatment: (Check all that apply)	18. System Schematic (Pro	cess Flow):				
Disinfection Gas Chlorine: Sodium Hypochlorite Ultraviolet Radiation Iron Removal Nitrate Removal PH Neutralizer Other Unknown	NOTE: This diagram the reconnaissance. bypassed equipment		FLOW USEr process flow observed or described on the date of ning, disconnected and/or occasionally/regularly- refore, from those shown herein.			
19. System Storage:		20. Storage Capacity:	21. Untreated water sampling tap?			
Ground Storage Elevated Storage Hydropneumatic Tank Other		Typical Domestic	Yes No <u>x</u>			
WELL INFORMATION						
22. Well Information: 24. Well Location Diagram (1 in. = 1250 ft.) with Approximate Distances from Potential Contaminant						
Tag Number: not visible Sources (i.e. septic, sewer lines, structures, petroleum storage, surface water bodies, etc.):						
Year Drilled: ???????????????????????????????						
Casing Depth:	SAG A		SRON MUL			
Well Yield:						
Casing Height:						
Grout Depth:						
Pitless Adapter?		CHISAPE	AKE STE			
Wiring OK? <u>unknown</u>						
Pump OK? <u>unknown</u>		P	Omo			
23. Well Type:		POTOMAC	C REVELAT			
Drilled x Driven Dug		544	L Master			
25. Aquifer:	26. Quantity Used:	27. Well Cap:	28. Casing Diameter: 29. Casing Type:			
Name: Marcellus Needmore	Daily Avg (gpd)<2,000Pumping Rate (gpm)unknowHours run per dayunknow	<u>n</u> Seal Tight? <u>O.K.</u>	2" 4" 6" Other			

