

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data from Frostburg has not been received as of 13 Oct 2006 at 8:45AM, but Frostburg had 484 days of storage remaining at the end of August.

Normal[2] - Data from Baltimore City has not been received as of 30 October 2006 at 7:40AM.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data from Cumberland has been received for the month of August as of 30 October 2006 at 7:40 AM, but Cumberland had 364 days of storage remaining at the end of May and 303 days of storage remaining at the end of September.

Normal[2] - As of 30 October 2006 at 7:40AM, data has not been received from Baltimore for the month of August

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - End of July data has not yet been received as of 2 Aug 2006 at 9:30 AM but status is expected to be normal based on previous reports.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch[1]	Normal[2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Watch[1] - Well levels for this region were measured on 19 June, before the series of rain events at the end of the month.

Normal[2] - Reservoir data for the end of June had not yet been received as of 3 July, but status is normal based on values reported at the end of May.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 14, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Watch
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Watch
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Warning	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Emergency	Watch	Normal	Watch
Central	Normal	Warning	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Warning	Warning	N/A	Warning
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 28, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31, 2006					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31, 2005					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for November 30, 2005					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	[1]Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	[1]Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1] Based on data from October as data for November was not available as of 14 December



Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for October 31, 2005					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal[1]	N/A	Normal

[1] Data is not available for most wells in this region as of 14 November

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
30-Sep-06						
Regions	Since Jun 30, 2006		Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ To Date	
	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	96%	Normal	92%	Normal	100%	Normal
Central	119%	Normal	108%	Normal	120%	Normal
Eastern	148%	Normal	118%	Normal	124%	Normal
Southern	162%	Normal	124%	Normal	128%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.



Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-Jul-06						
Regions	Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ to Date		Since July 31, 2005	
	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	89%	Normal	99%	Normal	89%	Normal
Central	101%	Normal	118%	Normal	103%	Normal
Eastern	103%	Normal	115%	Normal	101%	Normal
Southern	104%	Normal	115%	Normal	104%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
30-Jun-06						
Regions	Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ to Date		Since June 30, 2005	
	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	90%	Normal	101%	Normal	92%	Normal
Central	103%	Normal	121%	Normal	111%	Normal
Eastern	101%	Normal	115%	Normal	99%	Normal
Southern	102%	Normal	115%	Normal	104%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
13-Jun-06						
	Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ to Date		Since June 30, 2005	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	74%	Watch	91%	Normal	84%	Watch
Central	72%	Watch	102%	Normal	97%	Normal
Eastern	64%	Warning	91%	Normal	82%	Watch
Southern	65%	Warning	92%	Normal	88%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-May-06						
	Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ to Date		Since May 31, 2005	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	73%	Watch	92%	Normal	82%	Watch
Central	70%	Warning	103%	Normal	95%	Normal
Eastern	56%	Emergency	88%	Normal	83%	Watch
Southern	63%	Warning	92%	Normal	88%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
30-Apr-06						
	Since Dec 31, 2005		WY ¹ to Date		Since Apr 30, 2005	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	74%	Watch	95%	Normal	82%	Watch
Central	75%	Watch	111%	Normal	95%	Normal
Eastern	58%	Emergency	94%	Normal	90%	Normal
Southern	65%	Warning	98%	Normal	94%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-Mar-06						
	Three Month		WY ¹ to Date		Twelve Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	63%	Warning	93%	Normal	80%	Watch
Central	64%	Warning	112%	Normal	97%	Normal
Eastern	49%	Emergency	95%	Normal	92%	Normal
Southern	53%	Emergency	97%	Normal	96%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
28-Feb-06						
	Three Month		WY ¹ to Date		Twelve Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	81%	Normal	111%	Normal	88%	Normal
Central	99%	Normal	138%	Normal	107%	Normal
Eastern	88%	Normal	117%	Normal	101%	Normal
Southern	95%	Normal	121%	Normal	107%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
January 31, 2006						
	WY to Date		Six Month		12 Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	126%	Normal	96%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	151%	Normal	108%	Normal	107%	Normal
Eastern	135%	Normal	99%	Normal	103%	Normal
Southern	135%	Normal	106%	Normal	107%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
December 31, 2005						
	WY to Date		Six Month		12 Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	125%	Normal	94%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	159%	Normal	119%	Normal	107%	Normal
Eastern	144%	Normal	99%	Normal	105%	Normal
Southern	143%	Normal	107%	Normal	108%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
November 30, 2005						
	Since July 31, 2005		Six Month		12 Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	95%	Normal	92%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	107%	Normal	115%	Normal	107%	Normal
Eastern	95%	Normal	100%	Normal	103%	Normal
Southern	104%	Normal	104%	Normal	106%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
October 31, 2005						
	Since July 31, 2005		Six Month		12 Month	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	85%	Normal	82%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	115%	Normal	109%	Normal	110%	Normal
Eastern	93%	Normal	105%	Normal	106%	Normal
Southern	113%	Normal	111%	Normal	112%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Stream Flow Status as of 30 September, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 9/30/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 10/02/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 09/30/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Sept 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 9/30/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	41	18	38	25%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	4	3	6	20% - 25%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Watch	21	21	39	20% - 25%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	139	147	123	60% - 65%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	161	167	172	45% - 50%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	14	Eqp[1]	13	Eqp[1]
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	71	63	59	55% - 60%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	33	31	24	60% - 65%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	19,300	14,000	7,580	65% - 70%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	3,040	2,930	2,770	50% - 55%

Stream Flow Status as of July 31, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 7/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 8/01/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 07/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending July 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 7/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	35	36	64	30% - 35%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	6	8	9	40% - 45%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	50	66	57	55% - 60%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	163	Eqp[1]
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	181	210	223	45% - 50%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	13	15	16	45% - 50%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	90	96	71	70% - 75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	45	67	27	80% - 85%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	21,400	28,800	10,000	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	3,550	4,300	3,640	60% - 65%

Eqp[1] - As of 8/18/2006 at 1:20 PM the last reading reported from the Antietam Creek stream gage was from 7/30/2006 at 8AM but status must be normal based on reports recieved.

Stream Flow Status as of June 30, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 6/30/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 6/30/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 06/30/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending June 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 6/30/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	179	417	74	90% - 95%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	14	26	13	75% - 80%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	114	186	90	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	565	1,110	213	>95%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	796	2,590	315	>95%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	39	120	22	>95%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	229	477	93	>95%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	201	862	38	>95%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	145,000	62,850	16,300	>95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	85,070	29,065	5,090	>95%

Stream Flow Status as of June 14, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 6/14/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 6/15/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 06/14/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending June 14	Historical Rank For Week Ending 6/14/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	64	101	113	40% - 45%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	11	18	25	35%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Watch	73	98	153	20% - 25%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Warning	127	127	258	5% - 10%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Warning	164	195	432	5% - 10%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	13	13	26	10% - 15%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	75	81	100	30% - 35%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	33	34	54	25%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	27,500	32,750	21,500	75% - 80%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Warning	3,080	3,840	7,110	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of May 31, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 5/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 6/1/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 05/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending May 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 5/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	70	29	42	30% - 35%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	136	188	203	45%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Warning	150	150	293	5% - 10%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Watch	255	297	569	15% - 20%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	13	16	34	10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	71	83	116	20% - 25%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	28	34	75	15% -20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	25,300	22,450	32,100	30% - 35%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	4,410	4,890	9,190	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of April 30, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 4/30/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 5/1/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 04/30/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending April 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 4/30/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	158	340	260	55% - 60%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	43	93	70	60% - 65%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	330	638	332	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Watch	196	241	362	20% - 25%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	460	799	773	50% - 55%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	25	32	40	25%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	133	167	136	70%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	88	152	113	65% - 70%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	39,900	53,800	49,500	55% - 60%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	11,100	20,800	11,600	75% - 80%

Stream Flow Status as of March 31, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 3/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 4/03/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 03/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending March 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 3/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Emergency	118	103	125	<5%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Emergency	18	20	133	<5%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Emergency	125	142	598	<5%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Warning	179	191	430	5% - 10%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Emergency	346	386	1,200	<5%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	23	23	50	5% - 10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	94	99	137	15% - 20%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Warning	64	69	174	5% - 10%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Emergency	19,000	23,100	69,600	<5%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Emergency	4,520	4,770	18,300	<5%

Stream Flow Status as of February 28, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 2/28/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 3/01/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 02/28/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Feb 28	Historical Rank For Week Ending 02/28/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	103	132	367	10% - 15%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	25	39	108	20% - 25%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	184	220	393	25% - 30%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	273	292	318	45% - 50%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	692	820	978	35% - 40%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	35	38	43	40%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	138	148	122	60% - 65%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	132	152	168	40% - 45%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	21,300	28,000	37,400	35% - 40%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	8,350	11,040	12,950	35% - 40%

Stream Flow Status as of January 31, 2006

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 01/31/2006	Flow (cfs) Reported on 2/01/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 01/31/2006	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jan 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 01/31/2006
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	371	546	300	75% - 80%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	133	167	70	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	643	720	260	85% - 90%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	351	370	246	65% - 70%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,410	1,260	724	70% - 75%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	38	39	36	55%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	148	156	105	70% - 75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	179	222	140	70% - 75%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	62,700	61,000	27,100	75% - 80%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	14,200	17,960	11,250	70% - 75%

Stream Flow Status as of December 31, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 12/31/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 1/01/2006	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 12/31/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Dec 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 12/31/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	574	656	263	80% - 85%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	167	179	51	85% - 90%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	649	643	189	85% - 90%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	269	273	197	65% - 70%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,040	1,420	595	80% - 85%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	30	38	26	65% - 70%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	119	135	90	75% - 80%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	182	184	96	75% - 80%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	74,900	42,200	24,750	75% - 80%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	14,545	12,170	7,850	70% - 75%

Stream Flow Status as of November 30, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/30/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 12/1/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 11/30/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Nov 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 11/30/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	1,190	175	218	40% - 45%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	304	25	33	35% - 40%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	1,570	101	126	40% - 45%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	490	142	148	45% - 50%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	3,630	580	408	60% - 65%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Eqp[1]	20	Eqp[1]	21	Unknown
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	108	85	80	55% - 60%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	241	119	58	75% - 80%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	185,000	30,800	27,000	55% - 60%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	52,560	6,190	4,625	55% - 60%

Eqp[1] - No values were reported from this stream gage for the period from 11/27/2005@9:00 until 12/1/2005@5:00. Presumed normal based on straight line interpolation between reported values.

Stream Flow Status as of November 1, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/01/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 11/02/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 11/01/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Nov 1	Historical Rank For Week Ending 11/01/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	254	417	57	90% - 95%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	25	42	9	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	101	184	47	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	163	199	128	80% - 85%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	483	898	224	85% - 90%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	18	24	16	80%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	90	108	63	80% - 85%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	63	103	30	80% - 85%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	49,300	67,750	9,990	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Normal	4,880	8,610	3,040	80% - 85%

Ground Water – 30 Sept 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.13	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.29	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	45.63	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	22.63	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	10.61	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	NA[2]	Unknown	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	0.09	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	NA[2]	Unknown	
	MC51-01	12.68	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.96	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
				Normal
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 13 Oct 2006 at 8:45 AM				
On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 July, 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.9	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	30.77	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	43.33	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.38	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.09	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.59	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.65	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5.31	Normal	
	MC51-01	13.59	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	3.05	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.64	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.66	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 3 Jul at 10:20 AM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – 30 June, 2006

Real Time Measurements in Bold

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.14	Watch	
	WA Be 2	34.19	Warning	
	WA Bk 25	47	Warning	
	WA Ci 82[4]	34.67	Normal	Watch
Central	BA Ea 18[5]	20.37	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	4.77	Normal	
	FR Df 35[4]	57.27	Watch	
	MO Eh 20	13.35	Normal	Normal
Eastern	QA Ec 1	NA[2]	Unknown	
	WI Cg 20	6.49	Watch	
	MC51-01[6]	12.07	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	3.31	Normal	Normal
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	2	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.67	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
				Normal
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 3 Jul at 10:20 AM				
On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				
WA Ci 82[4], FR Df 35[4] - Not wells we normally include, but added for real time data.				
BA Ea 18[5] - Real time value from June 30th				
MC51-01[6] - value calculated from real time well MC51-01a				

Ground Water – 14 June, 2006

Wells Updated Since the End of May in Bold

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.84	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	32.06	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	45.67	Warning	
	WA Ci 82[4]	53.43	Emergency	
Central	BA Ea 18[5]	21.18	Normal	Watch
	HA Bd 31	10.41	Watch	
	FR Df 35[4]	57.65	Watch	
	MO Eh 20	13.35	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	3.7	Warning	Watch
	WI Cg 20	5.66	Watch	
	MC51-01[6]	13.24	Warning	
	SO Cf 2	1.95	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.2	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.78	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 5 Jun at 7AM				
On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				
WA Ci 82[4], FR Df 35[4] - Not wells we normally include, but added for real time data.				
BA Ea 18[5] - As of 6/14/2006, the last data reported from this well was recorded on 6/8/2006				
MC51-01[6] - value calculated from real time well MC51-01a				

Ground Water – End May 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.84	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	32.06	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	45.67	Warning	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.86	Normal	Watch
	HA Bd 31	10.41	Watch	
	MO Eh 20	13.35	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	3.7	Warning	Watch
	WI Cg 20	5.66	Watch	
	MC51-01	12.81	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.95	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.2	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	175.94	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 5 Jun at 7AM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End April 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.54	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	32.8	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	45.35	Warning	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.23	Normal	Watch
	HA Bd 31	8.81	Watch	
	MO Eh 20	12.85	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	2.05	Warning	Warning
	WI Cg 20	5.77	Emergency	
	MC51-01	12.18	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	2.05	Warning	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	2.92	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.95	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	179.72	On Trend[3]	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 1 May at 10AM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End March 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.9	Warning	Watch
	WA Be 2	39.79	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	43.3	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.05	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	8.45	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.64	Warning	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	2.78	Watch	Warning
	WI Cg 20	5.45	Emergency	
	MC51-01	11.31	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	2.24	Emergency	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.35	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.74	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 3 April at 1:25 PM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End February 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.35	Watch	Normal
	WA Be 2	26.75	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	39.1	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	19.7	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	5.77	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	11.19	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	0.5	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.28	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.58	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.98	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	2.68	normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.81	On Trend[3]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 9 March at 10:40 AM

On Trend[3] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End January 2006

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	WA Be 2	27.6	Normal	Normal
	WA Bk 25	38.15	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	20.43	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	5.6	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	11.44	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	0.86	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.28	Normal	
	MC51-01	9.56	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.98	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	16.72	normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	175	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 7 February 2006 at 8:30 AM				
CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - Estimated from Real Time data				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – End Dec 2005

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	WA Be 2	33.39	Normal	Normal
	WA Bk 25	44.98	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.23	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	6.31	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	NA[2]	Unknown	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.26	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.18	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.12	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.87	Normal	
Southern	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	176.4	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 11 January 2006 at 9:30 AM				
CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - Estimated from Real Time data				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – End Nov 2005

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	WA Be 2	35.16	Normal	Normal
	WA Bk 25	47.25	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.4	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.32	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	NA[2]	Unknown	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal
	WI Cg 20	NA[2]	Unknown	
	MC51-01[3]	11.22	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	177.88	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 14 December 2005 at 7:30 AM				
MC51-01[3] and CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - Estimated from Real Time data				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – End Oct 2005

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	WA Be 2	34.53	Normal	Normal
	WA Bk 25	47.21	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	21.1	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.28	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.19	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	0.33	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5.3	Normal	
	MC51-01[2]	12.08	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	0.87	Normal	
Southern	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	NA[2]	Unknown	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	NA	Unknown	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)[3]	179.87	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 14 November 2005 at 8:00 AM				
CA Bb 27 (confined)[3] - From Real Time data				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	97%	303
	Lake Koon	78%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	****	****
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC *****	Triadelphia Reservoir	45%	129
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve		
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 13 October 2006 at 8:45 AM

***** Source - ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	****	****
	Lake Koon	****	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	99%	268
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC *****	Triadelphia Reservoir	68%	153
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 2 August 2006 at 9:30AM

***** Source - ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of June, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	408
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	*****	*****
	Lake Koon	*****	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	100%	271
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC ****	Triadelphia Reservoir	86%	193
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Source: ICPRB

***** Not available as of 5 July at 10:40 AM but normal based on values reported at the end of May

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	459
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	364
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	97%	271
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC ****	Triadelphia Reservoir	70%	151
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Source: ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	459
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	364
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	97%	271
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC ****	Triadelphia Reservoir	70%	151
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Source: ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	417
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	401
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	100%	289
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	73%	166
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	380
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	NA	****
	Lake Koon	NA	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	100%	289
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	68%	154
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** End of Month reservoir level for the City of Cumberland was not yet available as of 06 April 2006 at 3:30 PM, but the reservoirs are presumed normal based on storage reported at the end of February.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	342
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	413
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	NA****	
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	78%	172
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data is not available as of 13 March 2006 at 10:30 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January, 2006

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	NA****	
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	419
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	NA****	
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	75%	164
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data is not available as of 17 February 2006 at 8:50 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December, 2005

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	354
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	414
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore ****	Liberty	98	288
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	80%	170
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve		NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Source: USGS

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October, 2005

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg ****	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland ****	Lake Gordon	100%	327
	Lake Koon	71%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	****	****
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	80%	179
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	****	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.


** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data is not available as of 14 November 2005


Drought Status in Maryland

As of September 30, 2006




Drought Status in Maryland


As of August 31, 2006




Drought Status in Maryland As of July 31, 2006




Drought Status in Maryland As of June 30, 2006



Drought Status in Maryland As of June 14, 2006




Drought Status in Maryland As of May 31, 2006




Drought Status in Maryland

As of April 30, 2006



Drought Status in Maryland

As of March 31, 2006



Drought Status in Maryland as of October 31, 2005

